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**Abstract**

We present a study of the genetic diversity and structure of a tropical tree in an insular system. *Santalum austrocaledonicum* is endemic to the archipelago of New Caledonia and is exploited for oil extraction from heartwood. A total of 431 individuals over 17 populations were analysed for eight polymorphic microsatellite loci. The number of alleles per locus ranged from 3 to 33 and the observed heterozygosity per population ranged from 0.01 in Maré to 0.74 in Ile des Pins. The genetic diversity was lowest in the most recent islands, the Loyautés, and highest in the oldest island, Grande Terre, as well as the nearby small Ile des Pins. Significant departures from panmixia were observed for some loci–population combinations (per population $F_{IS} = 0–0.03$ on Grande-Terre and Ile des Pins, and 0–0.67 on Loyautés). A strong genetic differentiation among all islands was observed ($F_{ST} = 0.22$), and the amount of differentiation increased with geographic distance in Iles Loyauté and in Grande Terre. At both population and island levels, island age and isolation seem to be the main factors influencing the amount of genetic diversity. In particular, populations from recent islands had large average $F_{IS}$ that could not be entirely explained by null alleles or a Wahlund effect. This result suggests that, at least in some populations, selfing occurred extensively. Conclusively, our results indicate a strong influence of insularity on the genetic diversity and structure of *Santalum austrocaledonicum*.
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**Introduction**

Island systems have long fascinated biologists, in particular since Darwin’s theory of evolution by natural selection led to consider them as ‘evolutionary laboratories’ (Darwin 1859). Islands are strongly attractive environments for studying evolution for various reasons: they present discrete entities; despite their small size, they contain a variety of habitats; and they are often geologically dynamic (Emerson 2002). From a genetic point of view, at the within-island level, populations have been characterized as depauperate, because of possible recency of the founding event, isolation from source population, and stochastic processes due to their limited size (Carlquist 1980; Crawford et al. 1987, 1988, 1990; Brauner et al. 1992; Elisens 1992; Kwon & Morden 2002). At the among-island level, the presence of oceanic barriers restricts gene flow between populations. Hence populations from different islands are expected to be strongly differentiated, at least at neutral loci.

Although general expectations exist on the impact of island systems on genetic diversity structuring, especially those concerning natural selection and random drift (Barton 1989), empirical evidence is still lacking to confirm or attenuate the general expected pattern on plant species. In particular, the genetic structure of forest tree species is poorly documented in fragmented habitats (Savolainen
& Kuittinen 2000) and archipelagos (Sheely & Meagher 1996). However there is an increasing number of studies looking for genetic effects in other plants of recent, anthropogenic, habitat fragmentation (e.g. Ellstrand & Elam 1993; Gustafsson 2000; Young & Clarke 2000). In most cases, a loss of genetic variability and increased genetic differentiation of subpopulations through drift are predicted and have been found in some systems (Young et al. 1996; Newman & Pilson 1997; Young & Clarke 2000). Hamrick et al. (1992) and Hamrick & Godt (1996) studied the genetic diversity in continental forest tree species and underlined the factors influencing differentiation between populations (mating system, life history, distribution area, etc.), but did not consider the influence of insularity (e.g. isolation and size of populations).

In this study we address a number of questions related to the genetic diversity patterns of an insular forest tree species, Santalum austrocaledonicum (sandalwood) in the archipelago of New Caledonia. Santalum austrocaledonicum is, like all Santalaceae (Malécot et al. 2004) a hemiparasitic forest tree species (Nasi & Ehrhart 1996) endemic to New Caledonia and Vanuatu. It reaches 8 m in height and 30 cm in trunk diameter. It grows in lowlands, preferentially in open areas (Quémin 1988; Ehrhart 1998). As a hemiparasitic plant species, it cannot grow out of the vicinity of other species, particularly nitrogen-fixing species like Acacia and Casuarina (Quémin 1988; Radomiljac & McComb 1997; Ehrhart 1998). It occurs on all islands of the archipelago at altitudes lower than 200 m (Quémin 1988) as isolated trees or patches of trees of various sizes. Sandalwood reproduction is still poorly documented. The seeds are fleshy and small (about 1 cm) and seem to be disseminated by frugivorous birds (Columba vitiensis was seen eating fruits) that can travel among islands (Gibbs et al. 2001), and potentially bats (Cox et al. 1991). Santalum austrocaledonicum has been one of the most exploited sandalwood species since the 19th century. Essential oils extracted from heartwood are used in medicine and the perfume industry. Still exploited, some populations, particularly those of the Iles Loyauté, are seriously threatened.

Using eight microsatellite markers specifically developed for the species studied, we compared the pattern of genetic diversity among islands of the archipelago. We then tried to relate the amount of genetic diversity to the size and isolation of islands, and to look for isolation-by-distance patterns among and within islands. We also asked whether there is any evidence for an impact of the last maximum glaciations on the structure of the genetic diversity. The New Caledonia archipelago is a good system to address these questions, as it consists of six islands of various sizes and at various distances from the largest and oldest of them, Grande-Terre. Each island is large enough that it can itself be subdivided into a few geographic regions.

### Material and methods

#### Sampling methodology, DNA extraction and genetic analysis

Leaves of Santalum austrocaledonicum were collected on individuals growing in natural stands throughout the different islands: Grande Terre (island size: 16 350 km²), Île des Pins (152 km²), Iles Loyauté (Ouvéa (132 km²), Lifou (1196 km²), Maré (650 km²)) (Fig. 1). Population sampling areas are shown in Table 1. On Grande Terre, the largest island, all known populations were sampled. These populations were far from each other (at least 25 km) and had a small number of individuals so that the sampling was exhaustive. Ouen Toro was an exception as it was densely populated, so in this population individuals sampled were only a subset of the total population. Only populations with more than 10 individuals were conserved for the genetic analysis: Pindaï, Malhec, Païta, Hienghène, Tiéa and Ouen Toro. Hienghène was the only population known on the east coast, where the climate is wetter and the vegetation more luxurious than on the west coast.

On other islands, the situation was quite different: individuals were scattered over the whole area, so like in Ouen Toro, the samples, collected everywhere in the islands, represented a subset of the total population. In order to have population areas more comparable with those of Grande Terre, and to avoid a potential effect of substructuring on the structure indices, we tried to determine subpopulations in these islands according to the spatial distribution of individuals. Samples from Loyauté islands were divided in three populations: north (N), midlands (M) and south (S) for Ouvéa and Lifou, north (N), southeast (SE) and southwest (SW) for Maré. Île des Pins was subdivided into two areas, north (N) and south (S).

Leaves were collected between February 1998 and November 2003, but each individual, identified by its geographical coordinates, was only sampled once. Leaf specimens were placed in sealed plastic bags containing silica gel, until DNA extraction. Total DNA was extracted from 100 mg of dry leaf material using a MATAB method derived from Bousquet et al. (1990), with one additional chloroform–isoamyl alcohol (24:1) extraction. The genetic analysis was done using eight nuclear microsatellites: mSaCIRE09, mSaCIRH09, mSaCIRG01, mSaCIRH11, mSaCIRG10, mSaCIRF04, mSaCIRF10 and mSaCIRH10, designed specifically for Santalum austrocaledonicum. Their characteristics and the methods used to obtain them are described elsewhere (Bottin et al. in press).

#### Selection of individuals for the analysis

As sandalwood can reproduce by suckering (Quémin 1988), sampling of several individuals in a restricted area...
runs the risk of collecting the same genetic individuals. In order to avoid this problem we excluded from the following analyses all individuals (but one) with the same genotypes that were less than 100 m apart. We first identified similar genotypes by constructing neighbour-joining (NJ) trees for each population with Darwin 4-4 (Perrier & Jaquemoud-Collet 2003). We then examined their localization on geographical maps where we had placed all the individuals using Mapinfo software. Out of 541 individuals, about 100 were eliminated this way, suggesting that suckering occurs frequently. The total number of individuals analysed per population is presented in Table 1.

Analysis of genetic diversity and departure from random mating

Allele frequencies, observed number of alleles per locus ($A$), observed heterozygosity ($H_E$) and expected heterozygosity ($H_O$) (Nei 1978) per population were computed with Genetix 4.03 (Belkhir et al. 2001).

The inbreeding coefficient ($F_{IS}$) was estimated for each population and departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was assessed also using Genetix 4.03 by a permutation test with 5000 permutations. $P$ values were corrected using sequential Bonferroni procedure (Rice 1989).

To check if the differences in sample sizes and the various spatial scales over which individuals were pooled into ‘populations’ affected the diversity estimates, we calculated the allelic richness per population and island taking into account the dependence on sample size with an adaptation of the rarefaction index of Hurlbert (1971) (El Mousadik & Petit 1996), named ‘$R$’, using Fstat 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 1995). The principle is to estimate the expected number of alleles in a subsample of $2n$ genes, given that $2N$ genes have been sampled ($N > n$). In Fstat, $n$ is fixed as the smallest number of individuals typed for a locus in a sample.

Analyses of population differentiation

Differentiation among all samples and all sample pairs was tested using probability tests (Fisher exact tests), as described by Raymond & Rousset (1995). Wright’s
F-statistics \( F_{ST} \) (Wright 1951) were estimated for all populations and all population pairs by a ‘weighted’ analysis of variance (Cockerham 1973; Weir & Cockerham 1984) with GENEPOP. To investigate the genetic structure of populations, we ran an analysis of molecular variance using ARLEQUIN (Schneider et al. 2000) with 1000 permutations (AMOVA, Excoffier et al. 1992) which tests a particular genetic structure by partitioning the total variance into covariance components due to intra-individual differences, inter-individual differences, and/or interpopulation differences. We tested two kinds of structure: among island and among populations within each island. We also tested the differentiation between the ‘Grande Terre and Ile des Pins’ group and the ‘Ile Loyauté’ group.

To relate the dispersal ability of *S. austrocaledonicum* to its geographical distribution, we ran Mantel tests (ManTEL 1967) implemented in GENEPOP 3.4. The procedure assesses the significance of the correlation between pairwise \( F_{ST} / (1 - F_{ST}) \) estimates and the logarithm of the Euclidian distance (in kilometres) between pairs of localities (Rousset 1997), with the Spearman rank coefficient as statistical test, using 5000 random permutations of the matrix. Two tests were used: between populations in Grande Terre and Ile des Pins, and between populations of Iles Loyauté.

Pairwise genetic distances between pairs of populations were computed using Cavalli-Sforza’s chord measure (Cavalli-Sforza & Edwards 1967), obtained from the GENDIST program (PHYLIP, version 3.6, Felsenstein 1989, 1993). The distance tree was constructed using the NJ method of Saitou & Nei (1987) using the NEIGHBOR program of PHYLIP. The robustness of each node was evaluated by bootstrapping data over locus for 1000 replications using the SEQBOOT program of PHYLIP 3.6 and the consensus tree obtained by SEQBOOT (PHYLIP 3.6) was displayed with TREEVIEW software (Page 1996).

**Results**

**Within-population genetic diversity and departure from random mating**

The eight microsatellite loci were polymorphic and the number of alleles per locus ranged from 3 for *mSaCIRG01* to 33 for *mSaCIRG10*. Mean numbers of alleles per locus per population (\( A \)) ranged from 1.38 in Maré South-east (allelic richness or \( R \) in this population: 1.19) to 7.88 in Ile des Pins North (\( R \): 5.14). Forty-two alleles were private, the largest number in one population being 9 in Ile des Pins North. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the number of alleles per locus (\( A \)) and the allelic richness corrected with a rarefaction index (\( R \) (Table 2) was 0.96 and was highly significant (Spearman correlation coefficient: 0.935**), which demonstrated a strong relationship between these two parameters.

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of the populations of *Santalum austrocaledonicum* in the archipelago of New Caledonia: number of individuals sampled (no. of individuals), sampling area (in Grande Terre individuals are aggregated in populations, whereas they are quite isolated in Loyauté islands), and geographical coordinates of the populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>No. of individuals</th>
<th>Sampling area [island size] (km²)</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grande Terre</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP north</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>22°35'</td>
<td>167°28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP south</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>22°39'</td>
<td>167°28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ile des Pins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifou north</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>275.28</td>
<td>20°42'</td>
<td>167°13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifou middle</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>271.81</td>
<td>20°58'</td>
<td>167°04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifou south</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>96.95</td>
<td>21°01'</td>
<td>167°22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifou</strong></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>644 [1196]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mare northwest</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>125.32</td>
<td>21°24'</td>
<td>167°52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mare southwest</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>118.91</td>
<td>21°35'</td>
<td>167°53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mare East</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>89.18</td>
<td>21°33'</td>
<td>168°05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maré</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>333.4 [650]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouvea north</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45.87</td>
<td>20°27'</td>
<td>166°36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouvea middle</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>20°38'</td>
<td>166°34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouvea south</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>20°43'</td>
<td>166°25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ouvéa</strong></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>87.85 [132]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considering each locus in each population separately, the distribution of allele frequencies was highly unbalanced (results not shown). In 67% of the situations, one allele presented a frequency higher than 0.5.

Observed and expected (in parenthesis) heterozygosity values ranged from 0.01 (0.03) in Maré southeast up to 0.74 (0.74) in Ile des Pins North. The pattern of variation for $A$ and $H_O$ differed among populations within each island (Table 2). For instance within Grande Terre, the mean number of allele varied from 5.13 to 7.5 and mean observed (respectively expected) heterozygosity from 0.61 (0.62) to 0.75 (0.72). Concerning Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, all results per population are given in Table 2.

A significant heterozygote deficit was detected in each island except Ile des Pins. $F_{IS}$ values varied widely among islands, ranging from 0.14 in Ouvéa to 0.62 in Maré. Within islands, significant $F_{IS}$ values after Bonferroni correction were all positive and varied weakly among populations.

**Analyses of population differentiation**

All $F_{ST}$ values were significant. $F_{ST}$ was 0.22 among islands and 0.35 among populations (Table 3). Between the two groups ‘Grande Terre – Ile des Pins’ and ‘Iles Loyauté’, the $F_{ST}$ was 0.24 and in this case $F_{ST}$ was 0.39 among populations. Global $F_{ST}$ among populations within islands was 0.12, it was around 0.20 within Grande Terre and Maré, around 0.07 within Lifou and Ouvéa and around 0.01 within Ile des Pins. All pairwise $F_{ST}$ values were significant at the level $\alpha = 0.05$ except for the pair Maré north and Maré southeast ($F_{ST} = 0.01, P = 0.19$) and for the pair Ile des Pins North and South ($F_{ST} = 0.02, P = 0.10$) (Table 3).

Populations from Grande Terre and Ile des Pins and from Iles Loyauté were separated by the NJ tree (Fig. 2), and populations of Ile des Pins were clearly related to the group of populations of Grande Terre. The populations of Lifou had an intermediate position between the populations of Maré, Ouvéa and Grande Terre. The populations of the north of Grande Terre (Malek and more specially Hienghène) were closer to Iles Loyauté than the other Grande Terre populations.

Mantel tests revealed a significant correlation between geographical and genetic distances considering all the populations ($r = 0.31, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 3a). There was a significant association among Iles Loyauté populations ($r = 0.65, P < 0.001$) and among Grande Terre populations ($r = 0.6, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 3b). When Ile des Pins was included in the model with Iles Loyauté or with Grande Terre, the coefficient was not significant ($P > 0.05$ in both cases).
Table 3 Results of the AMOVA testing the genetic structure among islands, among two groups ('Grande Terre-Ile des Pins' and ‘Iles Loyauté’) and among populations within islands. For each analysis, we figured the percentage of the total differentiation attributable to the variation among groups (if there are some), among populations and within populations. Moreover, we figured the $F_{ST}$ between population within island.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Percentage of variation</th>
<th>$F_{ST}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among islands</td>
<td>Among islands</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.11</td>
<td>0.35***‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Among populations within islands</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.36‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>65.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>861</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 'Grande Terre-Ile des Pins' and 'Iles Loyauté' groups</td>
<td>Among groups</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>0.39***‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Among populations within groups</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.47‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>60.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Grande Terre</td>
<td>Among populations</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>0.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>80.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Ile des Pins</td>
<td>Among populations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.01***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>98.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Mare</td>
<td>Among populations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>0.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>80.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Lifou</td>
<td>Among populations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>0.07***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>93.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Ouvea</td>
<td>Among populations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>0.07***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡The $F_{ST}$ represents the differentiation among populations within the total population.

§The percentage represents the differentiation among populations within island (group).

Discussion

Diversity

In this study we used different parameters to assess genetic diversity. The parameters using the number of alleles ($A$ and $R$) are complementary of those using allele frequencies ($H$), especially for analyses raising conservation issues (El Moussadik & Petit 1996). Here we used the allelic richness corrected by the rarefaction index ($R$) to take into account the differences in sample size of the populations (from 10 to 53 individuals). Our result showed a very high correlation between $A$ and $R$ (Pearson coefficient: 0.96, Spearman coefficient: 0.935) demonstrating that the correction with the rarefaction index has no effect on diversity assessment. This also suggests that rare alleles (which strongly influence measures of allelic richness) have not a more scattered distribution than the other alleles.

Many tree species exhibit regional structuring (Morand et al. 2002), particularly when gene flow is limited by barriers such as mountains or oceans. Our analysis confirmed this principle, as it revealed great differences in diversity parameters between islands throughout the archipelago. The broad range in observed heterozygosity ($H_O$) values resulted from the broad variation in the mean number of alleles per locus ($A$) and follows the pattern found in other microsatellite studies of tropical tree species. For example, Dayanandan et al. (1999) found $A$ values between 2 and 15, and $H_O$ values between 0.13 and 0.93 in Carapa guianensis.

Our diversity parameters ($A = 2–16$, mean = 15.37; $H_E = 0.14–0.79$, mean = 0.66) were comparable to those of other tree species analysed with microsatellites. They were higher than those of Vitellaria paradoxa ($A = 3.4–4.2$, $H_E = 0.38–0.44$) (Kelly et al. 2004), Vouacapoua americana ($A = 3.2–5.1$, $H_E = 0.34–0.52$) (Dutech et al. 2004), Grevillea macleayana ($A = 3.2–4.2$, $H_E = 0.42–0.53$) (England et al. 2002), and lower than those of Melaleuca alternifolia ($A = 20–27$, $H_E = 0.13–0.92$) (Rossetto et al. 1999) and Symphonia globulifera (mean $A = 3.7–16$, mean $H_E = 0.67–0.85$) (Aldrich et al. 1998).

Populations of Iles Loyauté had a lower genetic diversity, particularly Maré (mean observed heterozygosity $H_O = 0.156$, mean number of alleles $A = 2$), compared to Ile des Pins ($H_O = 0.74$, $A = 10.25$) and Grande Terre ($H_O = 0.69$, $A = 16$). Genetic variability was not correlated positively with population size ($r = -0.47$, not significant with a two-tailed Pearson correlation test with $\alpha = 0.05$; $P > 0.025$), it was lower in Iles Loyauté than in Grande Terre and Ile des Pins (for example, mean number of allele per locus per km²)
was 0.006 in Maré and 2.16 in Grande Terre). The higher genetic diversity in Grande Terre can be related to its higher number of populations and its larger area. But it can also simply result from the history of sandalwood colonization throughout the archipelago. Grande Terre and Ile des Pins are the most ancient islands of the archipelago and in major part comprise sedimentary and volcanic formations from the Permian (225–280 million years ago) to the Tertiary (1.5–65 Ma). Their same geological origin and their connection during the last glaciation between 14 000 and 9000 years bp (Stevenson et al. 2001) could explain their similarity concerning genetic diversity, which is also reflected by their proximity in the genetic tree. The Eastern arc of the Iles Loyauté rests on old volcanoes, that were gradually drowned under the sea, whereas the coral grew in height, forming a ring which evolved into an atoll when the volcanic island disappeared under the sea. In the Quaternary period (1.8 Ma) these filled lagoons were raised, giving the current limestone islands (Picard 1999).

Given the different ages of the islands, it is very likely that sandalwood arrived first on Grande Terre and Ile des Pins, then differentiated into the species *Santalum austrocaledonicum*, and then colonized the Loyautés. Frankham (1997) established comparisons between mainland and island diversity of many organisms, and found that in most cases mainland populations were more diverse. Given their large size, Grande Terre and Ile des Pins can be likened to mainland, and lower diversity in the Iles Loyauté can be explained by the island colonization process and restricted gene flow.

The very low diversity in Mare (\( A = 2, H_E = 0.14 \)) can be explained by a stepping-stone model (Kimura & Weiss 1964) if we consider that there was no colonization from the east side of Grande Terre to the Iles Loyauté, and that there was two paths of colonization, one from north Grande Terre to Ouvéa then Lifou, and then Maré, the other from south Grande Terre and Ile des Pins to Maré. Hence Maré could be the end of the path and would have received less genetic diversity.

**Heterozygote deficit**

Our study revealed a strong heterozygote deficit in each island, and high values of \( F_{IS} \) particularly in Iles Loyauté. At least four explanations may account for this deficit.

The first is the occurrence of null alleles (alleles that are never amplified because of mutations in the flanking primer sequences (Callen et al. 1993)). This could explain the departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium of loci *mSaCIRE09*, *mSaCIRG10* and *mSaCIRF04*. But this seems unlikely because amplification failures that would reflect null/null homozygotes were rare (maximum of 5.6% for
Terre only (open triangles) (significant relationship, South (significant relationship, heterozygote deficit (Ouvéa Midland divided into three populations, two of them do not show panmixia. First, the suckering from adventitious buds on roots following disturbance, which has permitted sandalwood to subsist after the dramatic cuttings in the 19th century and the frequent fires in the archipelago. This asexual way of reproduction leads to clones in a small perimeter. We clearly observed this phenomenon throughout the archipelago, as close individuals often had the same genotype (cf. Material and methods). Mating among individuals belonging to the same clone is akin to selfing, and will similarly create departure from Hardy–Weinberg expectations.

Second, a low seed and/or pollen dispersal can create a clustering of genetically related trees around a mother tree. This is the case for Vouacapoua americana (Dutech et al. 2004) whose seeds are heavy (around 30 g) and dispersed by small rodents which bury them usually less than 10 m from their source. Unlike V. americana, S. austrocaledonicum’s seeds are not very large (8 mm × 5 mm) so they can easily be disseminated by birds over large distances. However, if pollinators are lacking, it is very likely that trees reproduce by selfing. Selfing will also happen when tree density is low and pollinators tend to stay on the same tree. Selfing seems to be the most logical explanation for heterozygote deficiency in situations such as Maré where mean observed heterozygosity is extremely low, $F_{IS}$ is very strong and significant (0.62), and subdivision of the island into three populations does not improve the results ($F_{ST}$ all significant and around 0.5).

The Wahlund effect can be not only spatial but also temporal (Morand et al. 2002): when flowering dates are consistently different among trees, as with S. austrocaledonicum, reproduction is restricted to the individuals flowering at the same time, hence creating a cluster of trees. However, this hypothesis assumes that ‘populations’ of trees flowering at the same time keep the same flowering dates from one year to another and are of finite size. Moreover, it supposes that these populations have evolved different allelic frequencies at microsatellite loci, or that there was an initial disequilibrium between neutral markers and loci involved in homogamy that are affected by a heterozygote deficit.

**Differentiation between populations**

The degree of differentiation between populations is both influenced by drift, which increases differentiation, and gene flow, which reduces it. Gene flow through pollen is expected to be low in S. austrocaledonicum as it is insect-pollinated, but seed dispersal through bird ingestion can occur over long distances and may allow gene flow between islands.

Both $F_{ST}$ values and percentage of variance obtained with the AMOVA indicated a strong differentiation between islands ($F_{ST} = 0.22^{**}$) and a lower differentiation between populations within island (intra island $F_{ST} = 0.16$ representing 12% of the total variance). This result was expected in an island system, where ocean barriers limit gene flow.

![Fig. 3](image-url)  
**Fig. 3** Relationship between genetic differences ($F_{ST}/(1 - F_{ST})$) and geographical distances for (a) all populations pairs ($r = 0.31$), and (b) pairs of populations of Iles Loyauté only (black triangles) (significant relationship, $r = 0.65$), pairs of populations of Grande Terre only (open triangles) (significant relationship, $r = 0.60$), pairs of populations of Ile des Pins and Loyautés (each part of the pair being a population of Ile des Pins, the other being a population of Loyautés) (black dots) (not significant) and pairs of populations of Ile des Pins and Grande Terre (each part of the pair being a population of Ile des Pins, the other being a population of Grande Terre (open dots) (not significant).

locus G-10). One means to test their absence would be to design sets of new primers located upstream and downstream from the original ones, to see if the individuals previously scored as homozygote remain as such when using the new primers, as did Gibbs et al. (1997). We decided not to search for null alleles as the analyses gave similar results with and without the three loci previously mentioned.

A second explanation is the Wahlund effect, which occurs when a subdivided population contains fewer heterozygotes than predicted despite the fact that all subdivisions are in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. This effect may explain a part of the heterozygote deficit of the whole island population. For example, Ouvéa shows a high and significant $F_{IS}$ (0.14*) (high heterozygote deficit), but when divided into three populations, two of them do not show heterozygote deficit (Ouvéa Midland $F_{IS} = -0.03$ ns, Ouvéa South $F_{IS} = 0.1$ ns). Similarly, in Grande Terre ($F_{IS} = 0.17^{***}$), in which populations are more isolated, all populations but Pindaï ($F_{IS} = 0.09^{*}$) showed no significant departure from panmixia.

Two characteristics of S. austrocaledonicum may contribute to the creation of a Wahlund effect. First, the suckering from adventitious buds on roots following disturbance,
between populations (MacArthur & Wilson 1967), and
confirms the first results obtained for tree species with similar
geographical patterns, for example *Pterocarpus officinalis*,
which revealed a strong differentiation between islands with
AFLP markers (Rivera-Ocasio et al. 2002).

As expected with the isolation of its populations, Grande
Terre had a high $F_{ST}$ (0.2**). The high $F_{ST}$ value in Maré
(0.2***) was harder to explain, but the possible more inbred
mating system in this island may have influenced the cal-
culation as effective size is reduced in selfing populations.

Because of its large size, Grande Terre was the most suit-
able island for comparisons of population structure with
continental species.

Our within-island results are similar to those of *Santalum
spicatum* which had an $F_{ST}$ of 0.09 ( Byrne et al. 2003), but the
markers used for this analysis were AFLP, which may
affect the result compared to what would be obtained with
microsatellites, RFLP marker mutation rate being lower
than that of microsatellite markers.

To our knowledge, there is no study of continental tree
species using microsatellites with similar patterns of dis-
persal. The majority of trees studied are insect pollinated,
but have seed dispersal over small distances from the
mother tree (barochorous, zoochorous by small animals),
or anemochorous over a small distance, so their gene flow
is potentially lower than that of species dispersed by birds,
however, the $F_{ST}$ expected is to be higher. However, examples
of those tree species studied on a similar geographical
scale showed a lower differentiation than on Grande Terre:
with *Caryocar brasiiliense*, Collevatti et al. (2001) found an
$F_{ST}$ of 0.11 and *Swietenia macrophylla* exhibited a $F_{ST}$ of 0.1
in the Brazilian Amazon ( Lemes et al. 2003), and 0.11 in
Central America (Novick et al. 2003). This result indicates
that gene flow in *S. austrocaledonicum* on Grande Terre is
lower than expected considering its large dispersal distance.

The tree representing genetic distances between popula-
tions confirmed the emergence of two distinct groups: Iles
Loyauté and Grande Terre/Ile des Pins. Ile des Pins popula-
tions were genetically very close to Grande Terre ones, as
already suspected from their geographical connectivity
during the last glaciations (Stevenson et al. 2001), but could
be explained simply by their geographical proximity.

The case of Hienghène is interesting, as it is the only
known population on the east coast of Grande Terre. First,
our analysis revealed that it was the genetically closest to
Iles Loyauté and Grande Terre/Ile des Pins. Ile des Pins popula-
tions were very close to Grande Terre Terre ones, as
already suspected from their geographical connectivity
during the last glaciations (Stevenson et al. 2001), but could
be explained simply by their geographical proximity.

Our results indicate that *S. austrocaledonicum* populations
are differentiated by a process of isolation by distance.
This hypothesis is supported by a significant Mantel test
($r = 0.31^*$) between a matrix of pairwise $F_{ST}$ and a matrix of
geographical distances. Ile des Pins showed no isolation by
distance with the Loyautés nor with Grande Terre to which
it is very close. This points out the absence of current gene
flow between Ile des Pins and South Grande Terre.

**Conservation implications**

*Santalum austrocaledonicum* is threatened throughout its
natural range as a result of two waves of over-exploitation,
the first after the discovery of New Caledonia in the 1840s
by Edouard Foxhall du Camden which led to shortage in
1865, the second at the end of 19th century. It is only since
1988 that cuttings have been regulated and new trees planted.

Our study provides tracks that could help to design con-
servation and management policies to maintain the diver-
sity of this valuable species. Both the high level of genetic
variation within populations and the isolation by distance
suggest that *in situ* conservation strategies, like the creation
of reserves, could be designed to preserve large areas to
minimize the loss of diversity due to genetic drift, and to
conservate maximally the regional genotypic diversity.

Our study allows us to define two molecular ESUs (evolutionary significant units, Ryder 1986) that we can
consider as provenance zone (in the forestry sense) for
*S. austrocaledonicum*: ‘Grande Terre and Ile des Pins’ and ‘Iles
Loyauté’, which are differentiated by an $F_{ST}$ of 0.24. The
term ESU was devised in a practical way to approach the
conservation of genetic resources, given the broad recogni-
tion of the importance of genetic diversity in conservation
policy and the frequent inadequacy of existing taxonomy
to describe it (Moritz 1994). The criterion for identification
of an ESU has been defined as reciprocal monophyly for
organelle haplotypes and significant divergence of allelic
frequencies at nuclear loci (Moritz 1994). Crandall et al. (2000)
have pointed out that ESUs based on neutral mole-
cular criteria will not address many of the real problems
of conservation. Ecological factors, such as frequency-
dependent mating and pollinator interactions, must also
be taken into account. It is likely that the best strategy
lies in concordance between neutral genetic and adaptive
information (Moritz 2002) but, as a starting point, identifi-
cation of molecular ESUs provides a valuable practical
framework (Cavers et al. 2003). The study of the genetic
diversity of chloroplast microsatellites we are now con-
ducting on *S. austrocaledonicum* will permit us to define
these molecular ESUs.
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